



NC FAMILY
POLICY COUNCIL

2022 North Carolina Voter Guide

Questionnaire for Federal Candidates

Candidate Information

(Please Print or Type)

Candidate Name Courtney Geels Party Affiliation Republ.com
 Office Sought US House of Representatives District NC-4
 Campaign Address PO Box 995 City Hillsborough State NC Zip 27278
 Campaign Phone/Fax 9195936738/ Campaign Website Courtneygeelsforcongress.com
 Campaign Email Courtneygeelsforcongress.com Campaign Facebook facebook.com/CourtneygeelsforCongress
 Candidate Signature *Courtney Geels* Date 7/6/2022
 (required) (required)

Candidate Questionnaire

Please indicate your position on the following issues by marking "Yes," "No," or "Undecided."
 You may provide additional comments and explanations for your responses on a separate sheet of paper.
 (Failure to answer a question will result in a listing of "No Response" in the 2022 Voter Guide.)

- Should Congress pass legislation that establishes a right to abortion in federal law?
 Yes No Undecided
- Should the federal government increase the minimum wage?
 Yes No Undecided
- Should Internet gambling be prohibited?
 Yes No Undecided
- Should Congress pass legislation that would prevent the federal government from discriminating against individuals based on their sincerely held religious beliefs about marriage?
 Yes No Undecided
- Should Congress legalize the use of marijuana for medical purposes?
 Yes No Undecided
- Should federal nondiscrimination laws include "sexual orientation" and "gender identity" as protected classifications?
 Yes No Undecided

2022 North Carolina Voter Guide Questionnaire for Federal Candidates — Page 2

7. Should the federal government continue to provide funding for abstinence until marriage sexual education programs?

Yes No Undecided

8. Should organizations that provide abortions be allowed to receive federal funds?

Yes No Undecided

9. Should Congress pass a law requiring a health care practitioner, who is present at the time a child is born alive after a failed abortion attempt, to exercise the same degree of skill, care and diligence to preserve the life and health of the child as would be expected for any other child born alive at the same gestational age?

Yes No Undecided

10. Should Congress ban federal taxpayer funding for gender reassignment treatments (such as puberty blockers, hormone therapy, and genital reassignment surgery) for minors?

Yes No Undecided

11. Should a person's religious beliefs influence the decisions they make while serving in public office?

Yes No Undecided

12. Should churches and other qualified religious organizations continue to receive tax-exempt status?

Yes No Undecided

13. Should the number of terms an individual may serve in the U.S. Senate or U.S. House be limited?

Yes No Undecided

14. Should the U.S. Constitution be amended to require Congress to balance the federal budget every year?

Yes No Undecided

Candidate Signature

Carly Deeks
(required)

Date

7/10/2022
(required)

**Please return completed questionnaire to the
NC Family Policy Council by Friday, July 15, 2022**

By mail to: NCFPC, P.O. Box 20607, Raleigh, NC 27619

By Email to: vote@ncfamily.org

By Fax to: (919) 807-0900

NC Family Policy Council 2022 NC Voter Guide *Additional comments*

Regarding Question #2: Minimum wage laws should be decided at the state level. The federal government cannot manage wage laws at such a high level. They would drive the cost of living up dramatically in many areas of the country.

Regarding Question #4: Marriage laws should be handled at the state level. This is not a role of the federal government.

Regarding Question #5: I generally support the use of medical marijuana only if it is prescribed by a medical provider (MD, DO, NP, PA) and dispensed by a medical provider (MD, DO, NP, PA, RN, Pharmacist). I have talked to many people who have said that medical marijuana has been monumental in their pain control. I also know much more potent drugs are prescribed and dispensed every day. This labeled use of marijuana needs to be placed under the umbrella of "pharmaceuticals." I picked undecided as I also think this is the role of the state, not the federal government.

Regarding Question #6: I NEVER believe in discrimination of any kind. I believe the word discrimination is being mixed publicly with the words "safety" and "rights." Regarding safety, no individual should fear for their safety based on how they identify themselves. I will always hold to safety policies for all of my constituents. The word "rights" in law should refer only to the rights listed in the Constitution, which I will always uphold. The problem with adding these terms in federal nondiscrimination laws is it will have dramatic effects on the local level related to independent businesses making their own private decisions about how to run their business (the market will decide if that business succeeds), and it will also affect children in schools regarding bathroom/locker room policies. The local level needs to make decisions surrounding this topic.

Regarding Question #7: This is a TOPIC I do support, but I stand by my focus on getting the federal government out of business that it was not intended to be in. I picked undecided, as I would like this type of funding to come from the state level.

Regarding Question #9: I have to comment on this question because it needs to be crystal clear. The question is referring to a baby that is alive outside of the womb. If the practitioner does NOT do everything medically (as he or she would in a normal birthing situation), this should be deemed as medical malpractice. I would go so far as to impose penalties reflecting voluntary manslaughter and loss of medical license.

Regarding Question #11: I checked undecided because the question is confusing to me. I do not think that someone can actually exclude their religious influence in decision making. I actually think that's what makes them who they are. I believe everyone worships something or someone, and sometimes that is themselves, and that is how they will make decisions.

Regarding Question #12: I get a lot of pushback talking about my Christian views when it comes to the topic of separation of Church and State. I think the tax-exempt status is actually what prevents a lot of churches from saying what they think publicly and that's actually an infringement of the first amendment. That rule needs to be removed, or the tax-exempt status needs to go. The separation of Church and State was supposed to protect the Church from the State, not the other way around. Unfortunately I think the tax-exempt rules have actually put the State in the Church.